In the Matter of the Application regarding the Conversion and Acquisition of Control of Premera Blue Cross and its Affilitiates.

No. G 02-45

PRE-FILED TESTOMONY OF ROBERT A. CRITTENDEN, MD, MPH

1. I am Robert Crittenden, MD, MPH. I am a past President of the Washington Academy of Family Physicians (WAFP), which is a member of the Premera Watch Coalition and an Intervener in this proceeding. I am the designated lead participant from WAFP in the Premera Watch Coalition. Additionally, I am the Chief of Family Medicine at Harborview Medical Center and President of the Rainier Institute, a non-partisan state policy institute. I have been involved in contracting with health insurers for the past 25 years as the Medical Director of a six physician group, as a member of the State of Washington's Public Employees Benefit Board, and as a member of an advisory committee overseeing University of Washington contracting. I have worked closely with

PRE-FILED TESTOMONY OF ROBERT A. CRITTENDEN, MD, MPH -1

Columbia Legal Services 101 Yesler Way, Suite 300 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-5933

- medical practices throughout the state that are attempting to remain solvent in my role in the leadership of the WAFP during the past five years.
- 2. WAFP is a membership organization that supports the practices of the 2,500 physicians by education, sharing information and skills, and by representing them on issues impacting its members and their practices. WAFP's members comprise almost all of the family physicians in the state. Many are on private practice, some in Community Health Centers and some in academic and teaching settings. They are geographically spread throughout the state and are in every medical community in the state. Family physicians are the largest specialty organization in the State. They are responsible for more that 25% of all visits to doctors in Washington. They are the backbone of rural health care, care for the underserved, and are the main providers of primary care in the state.
- 3. WAFP opposes the proposed conversion of Premera and Premera Blue Cross. To arrive at this position, we consulted with family physicians throughout the state, the House of Delegates of the Academy, and the WAFP Board of Directors. It was the overwhelming opinion of the physicians consulted to take this position.
- 4. WAFP represents physicians who contract with Premera. For many physicians particularly in Eastern Washington, Premera is the dominant insurer in the market. Most primary care practices are marginal operations with high overhead and the lowest salaries paid to the physicians of any physician group. If for-profit Premera were to decrease its payments to physicians or withdrawal from certain markets, those changes could materially affect the viability of the family practices serving people in Eastern Washington. Our review of the conversion experience in other states was that rates paid

to primary care doctors could decrease and market areas could contract. In fact, since many of the communities served by Washington State's family physicians are not profitable from a business perspective (that is, many communities have sparse populations, low incomes, high rates of uninsured and present family physicians with a high need to 'cost-shift' from services provided to insured patients), family physicians and rural communities in Eastern Washington are at the highest risk of being cut off from a for-profit Premera's services, especially since a for-profit company has the fiduciary responsibility to its shareholders to put financial performance above community benefit. We are very concerned that not only will the practices be affected, but the communities served by family physicians will be adversely affected.

- 5. People working in family practices value their patients and their communities more that money and convenience. All of them could work many less hours and make much more money by working in other specialties and not doing primary care in their communities. Not only would the changes brought about by a Premera conversion (such as decreased rates and potential withdrawal from certain communities) affect family practices, but also the local hospitals that are the backbone of the community health systems are at risk of failing. The result would place community members at greater risk for worse health outcomes.
- 6. Premera's proposed conversion has already impacted the company's commitment to serving the low-income families in Eastern Washington that are part of its historical mission. Premera, in a move that we believe is part of its conversion plan, has recently announced its intention to pull out of the Medicaid Health Options program and the Basic

Health Plan, which will impact our patients' access to current providers. We are concerned that Premera, as a for-profit company, will make business decisions that increase the number of low-income working parents with inadequate or no health coverage, despite the long standing commitment of at least one of Premera's predecessor corporations, the Medical Services Corporation of Eastern Washington, to serving the needs of that population.

- 7. It is clear from our assessment of the proposed conversion that the people of the state our patients would be materially worse off if the conversion proceeds. The loss of primary care practices in many communities that would occur if rates paid decrease would significantly harm consumers. Therefore, it is our position that the Commissioner should order a stop to this conversion.
- 8. WAFP members are beneficiaries of the nonprofit assets held by Premera, and have a significant interest in how the proceeds from a conversion are protected and dedicated. WAFP members are concerned that the full value of Premera's assets accumulated during nonprofit status be retained for future health care use to address unmet health care needs in Washington State. If the conversion is permitted to proceed, and WAFP hopes that it does not, all the value of nonprofit Premera attributable to Washington State activities must be placed in an independent foundation to support the provision of health services and the improvement of health status of people throughout the state. This foundation should have no connection to Premera and must have an independent board appointed by a neutral party. The foundation should also be accountable to the people of Washington and be representative of its full diversity. Premera's proposed restrictions on the

Foundation, including its plan to be able to sue the Foundation and its grantees for activities that may be "materially adverse" to the operations of health insurers, should be rejected.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this __ day of March 2004, in Seattle, Washington.

ROBERT A. CRITTENDEN, MD, MPH

Foundation, including its plan to be able to sue the Foundation and its grantees for activities that may be "materially adverse" to the operations of health insurers, should be rejected.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 2/day of March 2004, in Seattle, Washington.

ROBERT A. CRITTENDEN, MD, MPH

PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF ROBERT A. CRITTENDEN, MD, MPH •5

Columbia Legal Services 101 Yesler Way, Suite 300 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-5933